04.10.19: Language Prejudice
What is a Language?

Recall from our last lecture that we’ve settled on a first draft of a scientific definition of what it means to be a human language.

A human language will have the following properties:

1. It will have its own phonology.
2. It will have its own morphology.
3. It will have its own syntax.
4. It will be acquired using known mechanisms of language learning.

And last time we saw that there is quite a bit of diversity when it comes to languages, both across the world, and within a single country like the US.

Today we are going to combine what we’ve learned about the science behind languages with an issue that arises because of language diversity - language prejudice.
Prejudice and Discrimination

**Prejudice** is the act of pre-judging, coming to a conclusion about someone (or something) without real evidence.

**Language prejudice** is the act of pre-judging someone based on the language that they speak (accent, vocabulary, or grammar).

**Discrimination** is the act of treating someone (or something) differently based on their membership in a certain group or category, rather than their individual properties.

**Language discrimination** is an act of discrimination based on the language that somebody speaks (accent, vocabulary, grammar).
Goals for today

African American English (AAE)

Speakers of this language face language prejudice, and in some cases, language discrimination. Some people believe AAE is a form of broken, corrupted, or “lazy” English. Today we will use the analytic tools of linguistics to debunk those beliefs, and demonstrate that there is no scientific basis for the prejudice. AAE is a full human language, just like any other.

English-only movements

There are many groups, and a large number of people, who believe that the US government should only operate in English. Today we will use what we know about language acquisition to demonstrate that this is language prejudice — the inability to learn English natively is a combination of an accidental fact (location of birth) and a biological limitation (the critical period).
African American English (AAE)
Who speaks African American English?

Let’s deal with this issue right away. Sometimes when people hear the name African American English, they think that all African Americans speak AAE. Or they think that all speakers of AAE are African American. This is false.

Not all speakers of AAE are African American.

Not all African Americans speak AAE.

Think about other languages for a moment. Many languages are named after countries: English, Spanish, French.

Are all speakers of English from England? No.

Are all people from England speakers of English? No.

That said, there is a strong correlation: most speakers of AAE are African American (but this does not mean that most African Americans speak AAE... remember the inverse of a conditional probability is different!). The bottom line is that a child will speak AAE if they grow up hearing AAE spoken around them, just like any other language, regardless of their race.
The name of the language

Today, linguists use the name **African American English** or **AAE**.

There have been other names in the past:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ebonics:</strong></td>
<td>This was the first name. It was intended to convey the fact that this is a distinct language. But it was used during a political controversy in 1990s, so it started to carry political baggage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Black Vernacular English:</strong></td>
<td>This was the successor to Ebonics. When the word Black fell out of favor as a race name, this name fell out of favor for the language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>African American Vernacular English:</strong></td>
<td>This was the successor to BVE when African American began to replace Black as a race name.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>African American English:</strong></td>
<td>Finally, the word “vernacular” was dropped to make it clear that there is nothing inferior about this language compared to others.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There is power in a name

It may seem strange to spend so much time talking about the name of the language, but there is a reason that it matters.

One common name for the English that is used in business, media, etc, is **Standard American English**.

Now compare the name **Standard American English** to the name **African American Vernacular English**. Which one sounds more important, or even more appropriate for business, media, etc?

There is already a **power asymmetry** between the two languages, and the names themselves reinforce that asymmetry.

In order to reinforce the idea that every language is equal to every other, we try to create names that do not create asymmetries:

**General American English:** The language spoken in business, media, etc in the US.

**African American English:** The language we are studying in class today.
AAE is a full human language

Over the next few slides, we will use the tools we’ve learned in this class to analyze the phonology, morphology, and syntax of AAE.

Remember that we have said that human languages will have the following properties:

1. It will have its own phonology.
2. It will have its own morphology.
3. It will have its own syntax.
4. It will be acquired using known mechanisms of language learning.

By this definition, **AAE is a full human language, just like any other.**
AAE Phonemes

AAE doesn’t have “th” sounds (in IPA: θ, ð). In fact, 93% of the world’s languages don’t have these sounds (e.g. German).

Because AAE doesn’t have these sounds, it must use different sounds in words that would have those sounds in GAE. Typically they are replaced with t, d, f, or v:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GAE phonemes</th>
<th>AAE phonemes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>birthday</td>
<td>birfday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with</td>
<td>wit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bath</td>
<td>baf</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is not a “corruption” of GAE. It is a completely regular difference in phonemes.

We would never criticize the German language for not having “th” in it. Why do some people criticize AAE for not having the “th” phoneme?
**AAE Phonology - Syllables**

Another property speakers of GAE might notice is that some AAE words appear to be “missing” sounds at the end of the word or syllable:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GAE syllables</th>
<th>AAE syllables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>list</td>
<td>lis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hand</td>
<td>han</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is because different languages have different rules about what is a possible syllable:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C V</th>
<th>C V C</th>
<th>C V C C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GAE:</td>
<td>to</td>
<td>beer</td>
<td>post</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(as in mail)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAE:</td>
<td>to</td>
<td>beer</td>
<td>pos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese:</td>
<td>to</td>
<td>biru</td>
<td>posuto</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We would never criticize Japanese for only using CV syllables. **Why do some people criticize AAE for only using CVC and CV syllables?**
Aspect is a property of verbs. It tells you how the verb extends over time.

I *was* eating when the doorbell rang. The “eating” event was ongoing.
I *had eaten* when the doorbell rang, The “eating” event was completed.

One interesting form of aspect is called **habitual aspect**. It indicates that an event happens regularly:

John *used to* work at the factory. This is a past tense form. It says that John regularly worked in the past.

GAE does *not* have a habitual aspect marker in the present tense. Present tense sentences are **ambiguous**: they could be habitual or not habitual.

John *is* working. John is currently at work, but it may not be a regular thing.
(Just for today, as a temp.)

John *is* working. John has a regular job.
(He is at his regular job.)
AAE Morphology - Aspect

AAE has a richer aspect system than GAE. AAE has a present tense marker for habitual aspect that disambiguates habitual and non-habitual actions:

John working.  
(Just for today, as a temp.)

John is currently at work, but it may not be a regular thing.

John be working.  
(He is at his regular job.)

John has a regular job.

In a very real sense, AAE has more expressive power than GAE in terms of aspect. So why is it that some people criticize AAE for the use of habitual “be”?

The answer, of course, is language prejudice. It is exacerbated by the “logic” that people can use to rationalize their prejudice. The non-habitual form in AAE looks like it is “missing” a word from the perspective of GAE. And the habitual form in AAE looks like an “unconjugated” form of the word “be” in GAE. But both of these are false linguistically. The two forms are rule-governed parts of the AAE grammar!
AAE Syntax - the copula “is”

The **copula** is the name given to the word *be/am/is/are* when it is used to “link” a property to the subject of a sentence:

She *is* my sister.  
She *is* happy.  
She *is* at the store.

Notice that the copula is very different in function from the aspect markers we saw on the previous slide. Though the word looks the same (be/is/are), the function is very different (we call aspect markers “auxiliary verbs” to distinguish this).

In GAE, the word “is” can be contracted to save a little effort in speech:

She *is* my sister. → She’s my sister.  
She *is* happy. → She’s happy.  
She *is* at the store. → She’s at the store.

GAE has a rule that allows speakers to contract “is”. 
Contraction is rule governed

Contraction can’t just happen anywhere in GAE. It is rule-governed. Here is a funny post from the internet demonstrating this rule. (I am re-typing it to make it fit on the slide):

**OP:** Contractions function almost identically to the full two-word phrase, but are only appropriate in some places in a sentence. It’s one of the weird quirks of the language we’ve.

**reply:** This post needs some kind of warning sign.

**reply:** I did not see that coming

**reply:** Some people say the English language is confusing. To which I say... It’s.

**reply:** That’s the kind of linguist I’m.

The rule in GAE is that you can’t end a sentence with a contraction:

Mary isn’t my sister, but Sarah **is**.

*Mary isn’t my sister, but Sarah’s.*
AAE Syntax - the copula “is”

AAE takes contraction one step further. Instead of contracting “is” to the previous word, it simply deletes “is” completely:

She is my sister.
She my sister.

We say that the copula is optional in AAE syntax.

Just like in GAE, this is possible because “is” is completely predictable here. There is no chance that hearers will mistake this sentence for something else.

Furthermore, AAE deletion is rule governed by the same rule as contraction in GAE. You can’t delete is when it is the last word of the sentence.

Mary ain’t my sister, but Sarah is.

*Mary ain’t my sister, but Sarah.

Nobody criticizes GAE for contraction. So why do some people criticize AAE for omitting “is” from sentences? They serve the same function (saving effort), and are governed by the same syntactic rule!
Why is it important to understand that AAE is a full human language?

GAE and AAE seem very similar to each other. This leads speakers of GAE to view AAE as an “inferior” version of GAE. This has consequences for all sorts of aspects of society:

- Speakers of AAE are discriminated against in job interviews.
- Speakers of AAE are discriminated against in the housing market.
- Children who speak AAE are discriminated against in school.

Imagine that you spoke a different language from all of your classmates in school.

All of the lessons were in a language that you didn’t speak. All of the assignments and tests were in a language that you didn’t speak.

Furthermore, your teacher didn’t recognize your language as different. Instead, she/he thought you were just bad at school.

How well do you think you would do? Do you think you would enjoy going to school?
Being aware of AAE in the classroom

When we are aware that AAE is a full human language, we can work toward ending this sort of discrimination.

In some classrooms, teachers are aware of the differences between AAE and GAE, and they work to teach these differences to children:

https://kaltura.uconn.edu/media/DYSA+African+American+English+%28or+Ebonics%29+in+the+classroom.mp4/1_bdxd89hj

This helps the children understand the GAE educational materials that are used in school, and it helps them use GAE (if they need to) in order to avoid language discrimination (e.g., job interviews).

Obviously it would be much better for us all to eliminate language prejudice/discrimination and allow AAE speakers to simply speak their native language in school and work. We could also create educational materials in AAE, just like we create educational materials in other languages (e.g. Spanish). But we aren’t there yet, so the next best step is to teach children about these differences.
Language discrimination is real

True Crime

The suspect told police ‘give me a lawyer dog.’ The court says he wasn’t asking for a lawyer.

By Tom Jackman  November 2  💌
English-Only Movements
English-as-national-language versus English-only

The first thing we need to do in talking about this is distinguish two possible positions. These are often conflated when people talk about this issue.

Important fact to keep in mind: The US has no legally-defined official language (neither does the UK). Some states do, but the federal government does not.

**English as national language**

This would mean defining an **official national language** for the US.

All government meetings and documents would be required to be in English.

Documents could be translated into other languages when helpful. Translators could be provided when helpful. Public education could be bilingual when helpful.

**English-only**

This would mean defining a **single language** for the US government.

All government meetings and documents would be required to be in English.

No documents would be translated into other languages. No translators would be provided for non-native speakers. No public education would be bilingual.
Is there a scientific problem with English-as-national-language? **No.**

**English as national language**

This would mean defining an official national language for the US.

All government meetings and documents would be required to be in English.

Documents could be translated into other languages when helpful. Translators could be provided when helpful. Public education could be bilingual when helpful.

This is a social/political question, not a linguistic question.

At best, linguistics can just point out why it might not be necessary to designate an official language:

80% of the US is **monolingual** in English (only speaks English).

Only 1.5% of the population doesn’t speak English at all.

Because of this, English is the *de facto* official language of the US - all government meetings and documents are already in English.

Linguistics can’t say much about social/political issues. Sociologists probably can — they may study to what extent national languages privilege one group over another, and the effects that has on society.
Is there a scientific problem with English-only? **YES.**

**English only**

This would mean defining a single language for the US government.

All government meetings and documents would be required to be in English.

No documents would be translated into other languages. No translators would be provided for non-native speakers. No public education would be bilingual.

English-only movements **deny** non-native speakers of English access to government information and services in their native language.

This means that they are forced to use their **second-language understanding** of English to interact with the government.

Because of the biologically-driven **critical period**, immigrants who come to the US after puberty will never be as good at English as they are their native language.

The **native language** that you speak is an accident of your birth. The fact that you can’t learn a new language natively after puberty is a biological fact.

English-only **privileges** some people based on an accident, and **punishes** other people based on a biological limitation. That sounds a lot like **discrimination**.
Why do some people argue in favor of English-only?

One common argument is that it would help immigrants integrate into society, because they would be forced to learn the dominant language.

Issue 1: This assumes that integration-into-society is more important than effective communication with the government (in school, in emergencies, at the DMV, with the IRS, etc). This may be true, but it is not substantiated with facts.

Issue 2: This also assumes that there is no other pressure for immigrants to learn English. It is ignoring the social and economic pressures that exist. Immigrants learn English because it helps them get jobs and generally exist in their communities. Do we need to add another pressure to this?

Issue 3: We already require immigrants to learn English to become citizens. There is an English-language test as part of the citizenship test.
Why do some people argue in favor of English-only?

Another common argument is that there is an inherent principle that states that you should learn the language of the land that you live in.

I am just going to let xkcd deal with this argument for us.

http://xkcd.com/84/
Many “English as national language” movements are actually “English-only”
Many “English as national language” movements are actually “English-only”

But on a different page:

Declaring English the official language means that official government business at all levels must be conducted solely in English. This includes all public documents, records, legislation and regulations, as well as hearings, official ceremonies and public meetings.
because America is in England?
Language prejudice might be a stand-in for other types of prejudice

Language prejudice has no basis in science:

AAE has the same complexity of phonology, morphology, and syntax that other languages have (in some cases, it has more options than GAE).

Children who speak AAE do so because they grew up hearing AAE spoken around them, just like children who speak any other language.

Immigrants who come to the US after the critical period face a biological impediment to learning English as well as people who were (accidentally) born here.

But it does have a basis in sociological/power/identity issues:

The roots of prejudice are beyond my field. But many sociologists have observed that the groups that face language prejudice (AAE speakers, immigrants) are also the groups that face other forms of prejudice (race, nationality). The other types of prejudice are typically illegal and socially unacceptable. So sometimes, language prejudice can become a socially or legally acceptable way to target those groups. That is something we can stop by pointing to the science behind language.
Conclusions

A human language will have a phonology, morphology, syntax, and will be acquired using language learning mechanisms.

**Language prejudice** is the act of pre-judging someone based on the language that they speak (accent, vocabulary, or grammar).

**Language discrimination** is an act of discrimination based on the language that somebody speaks (accent, vocabulary, grammar).

**AAE is a full human language.** Sometimes people think it is a broken, corrupted, or “lazy” form of English. It is not. It is a full human language with all of the complex properties of grammar that we’ve seen in other languages.

**English-only movements** want to eliminate the use of non-English languages in government (meetings, documents, education). These movements often claim to be about making English an official language, but the two ideas are separable. English-only movements punish immigrants for a biological limitation (the critical period).

Language prejudice is often more socially (and legally) acceptable than other forms of prejudice. But the targets of language prejudice are often also targets of other prejudices (race, nationality, etc). We can use the science of linguistics to stop the use of language as a socially acceptable pathway to prejudice.